

From: [Granger, Michelle](#)
To: [Hauber, Erin M CIV USARMY CENWK \(USA\)](#)
Subject: RE: Pohatcong OU3 90% RD - USACE Comments_rev 1
Date: Wednesday, March 27, 2019 4:40:00 PM
Attachments: [draft EPA CMTS on Draft Pre final90%RDReport.docx](#)

Hi, Erin-

Thank you for the revised version. Could you review the attached draft of the 90% RD EPA comments? I included a few questions for you. Feel free to make revisions on it. If you have any questions, I'm working at my AWL on Thursday at 212-781-5455.

Thank you!
Michelle-

-----Original Message-----

From: Hauber, Erin M CIV USARMY CENWK (USA) <Erin.M.Hauber@usace.army.mil>
Sent: Wednesday, March 27, 2019 2:49 PM
To: Granger, Michelle <Granger.Michelle@epa.gov>
Cc: patrick nejand <patrick.c.nejand@usace.army.mil>; Brink, Bradley J CIV USARMY CENWK (US) <Bradley.J.Brink@usace.army.mil>; Stonestreet, Wendy E CIV USARMY CENWK (USA) <Wendy.E.Stonestreet@usace.army.mil>; Nanci Higginbotham <Nanci.E.Higginbotham@usace.army.mil>
Subject: Pohatcong OU3 90% RD - USACE Comments_rev 1

Michelle,

Please see the attached revised comments. If a comment changed based on our discussion this morning I highlighted the reviewer name. Comment #8 references the attached Excel spreadsheet. I think it would be easiest to include the spreadsheet as an attachment to our comments.

I did request that they update the indoor air threshold for TCE to match the NJ screening level for a nonresidential setting (3 ug/m3). I haven't looked into the basis for the referenced site-specific value of 7 ug/m3, but we can review Ramboll's response and dig into details and/or pull in a CIH if needed.

Patrick - I took the liberty of updating your comments, but let me know if I misconstrued anything.

Thanks,
Erin

Erin Hauber, P.E.
Civil Engineer
Kansas City District
US Army Corps of Engineers
816.389.2280